

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/511,468	10/18/2004	Søren Weis Dahl	16778.10a.1.	2832
	22913 WORKMAN N	7590 01/11/200 IYDEGGER	EXAMINER		
	(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			KIM, ALEXANDER D	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111	1656		
_					
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
_	31 D	AYS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/511,468	DAHL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Alexander D. Kim	1656					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2006.						
,— · · _——							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the application.		•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>75</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement						
,	·						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau	·	d in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	od.					
>	or the contined copies not receive	· · ·					
Attachment(s)							
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:						

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-75 are pending in the instant case.

Restriction

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- I. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Claims 1-60, drawn to a cell comprising at least a first conjugate comprising a first protein and the N-terminal fragment of complementation protein and a second conjugate comprising a second protein and the C-terminal fragment of the complementation protein.
- II. Claims 61-66, 69-70 and 74, drawn to a method for detecting proteinprotein interactions using a cell.
- III. Claims 67 and 71, drawn to a method for testing compound for translocation induction.
- IV. Claims 68 and 72, drawn to a method for testing if a compound prevents translocation of protein.
- V. Claim 73, drawn to a kit for detecting antigens.

Art Unit: 1656

*Claim 75 is withdrawn from the consideration in this Office Action because it is unclear what is encompassed by the claim.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions are linked by the technical feature of a cell of Group I. However, this technical feature is not special because it does not constitute an advance over the prior art. Hu et al. (as cited in International Search Report) teaches a cell comprising a first conjugate and a second conjugate, YN(1-154)+bJun, YC(155-238)+bFos, for example, (see Figure 1 A, page 790) wherein a conjugate having different cellular localization as shown in Figure 4, p. 793, a complex formed by two conjugate exhibited different fluorescence characteristics as shown in Figure 1, p. 790. Group I is a cell whereas the Group II-IV is a method comprising said method steps with protein-to-protein interactions. Group V is a kit comprising an antibody and conjugated proteins, which is distinct structure and function from the cell of Group I.

Election of Species

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 1656

In Group I, each complementation protein is distinct species in Claims 9-20 and 24-31, each interaction partner A, B and stimulus are distinct species in Claim 44-50, and each anchor protein is distinct species in Claim 51-58,

These species are related to a protein or components of a protein involved in a cell having a translocation within the cell. However, the related species are distinct because they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants. For example, each protein or components of a protein have distinct amino acids and/or chemical structure requiring a separate search for each species.

Therefore, species of Claims 9-20, 24-31, 44-50 and 51-58 are distinct evidenced by a distinct chemical composition, a distinct structures of the claimed inventions. In addition to their distinctness, the search of each species requires different keywords search, thus searching species of claims 9-20, 24-31, 44-50 and 51-58 together would impose a serious search burden on the examination process.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution from Claims 9-20, 24-31, 44-50 and 51-58 on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-8, 21-23, 32-43 and 59-60 of the Group I are a generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Art Unit: 1656

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be

Art Unit: 1656

maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Election

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants

Art Unit: 1656

The control Number: Total 1,4

or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1656

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander D. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-5266. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander Kim January 5, 2007

KATHLEEN M. KERR, PH.D.
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8